

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,932	12/08/2003		Toshimitsu Konuma	0756-7221	9654
31780	7590	06/23/2005		EXAMINER	
ERIC ROB	INSON			NGO, HU	IYEN LE
PMB 955					
21010 SOUT	`HBANK S	ST.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
POTOMAC	FALLS, V	'A 20165		2871	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

١	4	,	£
	١,		1

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/728,932	KONUMA, TOSHIMITSU	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Julie-Huyen L. Ngo	2871	

Advisory Action	10/728,932	KONUMA, TOSHIMITSU	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Julie-Huyen L. Ngo	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leading to the</li></ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply most of the final rejection.  Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, when the final rejection, where the state of the state	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	-	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in berappeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO bw); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(· · · · / ·
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	•		nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(P1O/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
.s. <u></u> .		Julie-Huyen L. Ngo	
		3-117 1/2/2011 2: 1190	1

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2871

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has not filed a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the Double Patenting Rejection apllied to claims 6-9 and 12-15.